

Finiteness of extension functors of local cohomology modules

Mohammad T. Dibaei^{ab} and Siamak Yassemi^{ac}

(a) *Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, Tehran, Iran*

(b) *Department of Mathematics, Teacher Training University, Tehran, Iran*

(c) *Department of Mathematics, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran*

Abstract

Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{a}$ an ideal of R and M a finitely generated R -module. Let t be a non-negative integer such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite for all $i < t$. It is well-known that $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ is finitely generated R -module. In this paper we study the finiteness of $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ and $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R is commutative Noetherian ring and $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of R . An R -module M is called $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite if:

- (i) $\text{Supp}(M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is finite (i.e. finitely generated) R -module for all $i \geq 0$.

In [G] Grothendieck conjectured that “for any finite R -module M , $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M))$ is finite for all i ”. Although, Hartshorne disproved Grothendieck’s conjecture (cf. [H]) but there are some partial answers to Grothendieck’s conjecture. For example, in [DY, Theorem 2.1] we showed that for a finite R -module M and for a non-negative integer t if $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite for all $i < t$ then $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ is finite.

Now it is natural to ask about the finiteness of $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ for $i > 0$. The first main result is to give a partial answer for the case $i = 1$, see Theorem A.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification.* 13D45, 13D07.

Key words and phrases. local cohomology module, extension functor, cofinite module.

Email addresses: dibaeimt@ipm.ir and yassemi@ipm.ir

For the case $i = 2$, Assadolahi and Schenzel used the spectral sequence method to show that over a local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ if M is a Cohen–Macaulay R –module and $t = \text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ then $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ is finite if and only if $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t+1}(M))$ is so. The second main result of this paper is to give a generalization of [AS, Theorem 1.2] without using spectral sequence, see Theorem B.

2. Main results

Theorem A: (Finiteness of $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$). Let t be a non–negative integer. Let M be an R –module such that $\text{Ext}_R^{t+1}(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is a finite R –module (for example M might be finite). If $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is $\mathfrak{a}$ –cofinite for all $i < t$, then $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ is finite.

Theorem B: (Finiteness of $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$). Let M be an R –module such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is finite for all $i \geq 0$ (for example M might be finite). Let t be a non–negative integer such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is $\mathfrak{a}$ –cofinite for all $i < t$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (a) $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t+1}(M))$ is finite.
- (b) If $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ is finite.

We first bring the following remark which is crucial in our proofs.

Remark 2.1. Let M be an R –module and let E be the injective hull of the R –module $M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$. Let $N = E/(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$. Then it is easy to see that the modules $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, E)$ are zero. Also from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \rightarrow E \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0,$$

we have $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i+1}(M)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/\mathfrak{a}, M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$ for all $i \geq 0$. In addition, note that $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) = \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, N)$.

Proof of Theorem A. We use induction on t . Let $t = 0$. The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

induces the following exact sequence

$$0 = \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, M),$$

and hence $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$ is finite.

Suppose that $t > 0$ and that the case $t - 1$ is settled. Since $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ is $\mathfrak{a}$ –cofinite, the R –module $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$ is finite for all i . By the exact sequence (1), $\text{Ext}_R^{t+1}(R/\mathfrak{a}, M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$ is finite.

Now by Remark 2.1 the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/\mathfrak{a}, N)$ is finite and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N)$ is $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite for all $i < t-1$. Thus by induction hypothesis, $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-1}(N))$ is finite and so $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ is finite. $\square$

Proof of Theorem B. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) We use induction on t . Let $t = 0$. The short exact sequence (1) induces the following exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, M).$$

To show $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$ is finite, it is enough to show that $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$ is finite. By Remark 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) &= \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, N) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^1(M)). \end{aligned}$$

Now the assertion holds.

Suppose that $t > 0$ and that the case $t-1$ is settled. Since $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ is $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite, the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$ is finite for all i . Using the exact sequence (1) we get that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$ is finite for all i . By Remark 2.1, $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, N)$ is finite for all i and also $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(N)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t+1}(M))$ is finite. By induction hypothesis the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-1}(N))$ is finite and hence $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ is finite too.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) We use induction on t . Let $t = 0$. The short exact sequence (1) induces the following exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)).$$

Thus $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{a}, M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$ is finite. By Remark 2.1, $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, N)$ is finite and hence the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))$ is finite. Thus $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^1(M))$ is finite.

Now let $t > 0$ and that the case $t-1$ is settled. Remark 2.1 implies that the modules $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(N))$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, N)$ are finite for all i . By induction hypothesis the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-1}(N))$ is finite and hence $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ is finite. $\square$

Remark 2.2. Note that in Theorem B, one may replace the condition “ $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is finite for all $i \geq 0$ ” with the condition “ $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is finite for $i = t+1, t+2$ ”.

Using the notation of [BS 9.1.3], the $\mathfrak{a}$ -finiteness dimension of M is defined as

$$f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) := \text{Min} \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^j(M) \text{ not finite}\}.$$

Therefore, it is natural to define the $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofiniteness dimension of M as

$$cf_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) := \text{Min} \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^j(M) \text{ not } \mathfrak{a}\text{-cofinite}\}.$$

As the conventions $\text{cf}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = \infty$ when for all $j \geq 0$ the module $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^j(M)$ is $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite. Using this notation we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. If $t \leq \text{cf}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$, then the following hold:

- (a) $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ is finite for $i \leq 1$.
- (b) $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ is finite if and only if $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t+1}(M))$ is finite.

Proof. Part (a) follows from [DY, Theorem 2.1] and Theorem A.

Part (b) follows from Theorem B.

Corollary 2.4. Let M be a finite R -module and $t = \text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ or $t = f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$. Then the following hold.

- (a) $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ is finite for $i \leq 1$.
- (b) $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ is finite if and only if $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t+1}(M))$ is finite.

Proof. Follows from Corollary 2.3 and the fact that $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, M) \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \leq \text{cf}_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$.

The following result shows that $\text{Ext}^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ is not always finite.

Corollary 2.5. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a 3-dimensional analytically normal Cohen–Macaulay local domain and $\mathfrak{a}$ an ideal such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} \geq 2$. If $\text{Spec } R/\mathfrak{a} - \{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{a}\}$ is disconnected then $\text{Ext}^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^1(R))$ is not finite.

Proof. By [MV, Theorem 3.9] we know that $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(R))$ is not finite. On the other hand $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(R)$ is $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite. Now the assertion follows from Theorem B.

3. Examples

Example 3.1 (cf. [H]) Let k be a field and $R = k[x, y, z, u]/(xy - zu)$. Set $\mathfrak{a} = (x, u)$. Then $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(R))$ is not finite and so, by Theorem B, $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^1(R))$ is not finite. Thus $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(R)$ is not $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite for $i = 1, 2$.

Example 3.2 (cf. [MV, Ex 3.7]) Let k be a field and $R = k[x, y, z]_{(x, y, z)}$. Set $\mathfrak{a} = ((x) \cap (y, z))$. Then $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(R))$ is not finite and so $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^1(R))$ is not finite. Thus $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(R)$ is not $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite for $i = 1, 2$.

Example 3.3 (cf. [MV, Ex 3.10]) Let k be a field and $R = (k[x, y, u, v]/(xu - yv))_{(x, y, u, v)}$. Set $\mathfrak{a} = (x, y)R \cap (u, v)R$. Then $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(R))$ is not finite and so $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^1(R))$ is not finite. Thus $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(R)$ is not $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite for $i = 1, 2$.

Example 3.4 (cf. [AS, Example 4.1]) Let k be an arbitrary field. Let $R = k[[x, y, z]]$ denote the formal power series ring in three variables. Let $\mathfrak{a} = (x, y)R \cap zR$. Then $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^1(R))$ is not finite.

Example 3.5 (cf. [HK, Ex 2.4]) Let k be a field of characteristic zero, and let $R = k[X_{ij}]$, for $1 \leq i \leq 2$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the height two prime ideal of R which is generated by the 2×2 minors of the matrix (X_{ij}) . Then $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^3(R))$ is not finite and so $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(R))$ is not finite. Thus $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(R)$ is not $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite for $i = 2, 3$.

Acknowledgment. This paper were initiated when the authors were attending the 16th Algebra Seminar in Institute for advance studies in basic sciences, Zanjan, Iran, 17–19 November 2004. It is a pleasure to thank the organizer of this seminar for invitation and hospitality.

References

- [AS] J. Asadollahi, P. Schenzel, *Some results on associated primes of local cohomology modules*, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) **29** (2003), 285–296.
- [BS] M. P. Brodmann, R. Y. Sharp, *Local Cohomology: An Algebraic Introduction with Geometric Applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 60. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [DY] M. T. Dibaei, S. Yassemi, *Associated primes and cofiniteness of local cohomology modules*, To appear in Manuscripta Mathematica.
- [G] A. Grothendieck, *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2)* Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 2. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam; Masson & Cie, Editeur, Paris, 1968.
- [H] R. Hartshorne, *Affine duality and cofiniteness*, Invent. Math. **9** (1970) 145–164.
- [HK] C. Huneke, J. Koh, *Cofiniteness and vanishing of local cohomology modules*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **110** (1991), 421–429.
- [MV] T. Marley, C. Vassilev *Cofiniteness and associated primes of local cohomology modules*, J. Algebra **256** (2002), 180–193.